APPENDIX A

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF & TALWALKAR, LLC Attn. Buckley, Patrick J. Five Elm Street New Canaan CT 06840

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
RECEIVED OCT 1 2 2005	(PCT Rule 44.1)		
RECEIVE CO. I	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 10/10/2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US2005/010177	international filing date (day/month/year) 25/03/2005		
Applicant .			
INTEL CORPORATION			

1.	\mathbf{x}	The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.
		Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
		Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35
		For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
2.		The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3.		With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
		the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
		no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4	Rem	ninders
	Sho Inter appl	rity after the expiration of 18 month s from the priority date, the international application will be published by the mational mational Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international lication, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bureau as provided in Rules 90 <i>bis</i> .1 and 90 <i>bis</i> .3, respectively, are the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.
	The Inter	applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the mational Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an mational preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.
	With exa date	nin 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary mination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority of the international phase of the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed of the national phase before those designated Offices.
1	in re	espect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

months.

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Katrin Sommermeyer





(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220	
P18285PCT	ACTION	as well	as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US2005/010177	25/03/2005 29/0		29/03/2004	
Applicant				
	•			
INTEL CORPORATION				
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being to	n prepared by this International Sea ansmitted to the International Burea	rching Autl u.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant	
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofst	eets.	•	
	a copy of each prior art document		report.	
Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this Item.				
The International this Authority (Ru	search was carried out on the basi ile 23.1(b)).	s of a trans	lation of the International application furnished to	
	•	e disclosed	I in the International application, see Box No. I.	
2. Certain claims were fou	ind unsearchable (See Box II).			
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).			
4. With regard to the title,				
_	ubmitted by the applicant.			
l	shed by this Authority to read as fol	lows:		
		·	•	
· ·			· ·	
	•			
			·	
5. With regard to the abstract,	submitted by the applicant.			
	ished asserting to Bule 38 2(h) h	this Autho	rity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant	
may, within one month f	rom the date of mailing of this inter	national sea	arch report, submit comments to this Authority.	
6. With regard to the drawings ,				
a. the figure of the drawings ,	published with the abstract is Figu	e No. <u>4</u>		
as suggested by	y the applicant.		•	
X as selected by t	his Authority, because the applican	t failed to s	uggest a figure.	
	his Authority, because this figure b	etter charac	cterizes the invention.	
b. none of the figures is to	be published with the abstract.			



| ' 'lonal Application No US2005/010177

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 H01L23/473 H01L23/38

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

 $\label{eq:minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)} IPC \ 7 \ \ H01L$

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	i automatica Na
Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
DE 103 13 685 A1 (PRECHTL, PETER) 9 October 2003 (2003-10-09) paragraphs '0166! - '0171!; figures 15-17	1-28
US 2002/174660 A1 (VENKATASUBRAMANIAN RAMA) 28 November 2002 (2002-11-28) abstract; figures 1,3,6,7,9	1-28
US 5 457 342 A (HERBST, II ET AL) 10 October 1995 (1995-10-10) abstract; figure 4	1-28
US 6 424 533 B1 (CHU RICHARD C ET AL) 23 July 2002 (2002-07-23) abstract; figures 2,3 -/	1-28
	DE 103 13 685 A1 (PRECHTL, PETER) 9 October 2003 (2003-10-09) paragraphs '0166! - '0171!; figures 15-17 US 2002/174660 A1 (VENKATASUBRAMANIAN RAMA) 28 November 2002 (2002-11-28) abstract; figures 1,3,6,7,9 US 5 457 342 A (HERBST, II ET AL) 10 October 1995 (1995-10-10) abstract; figure 4 US 6 424 533 B1 (CHU RICHARD C ET AL) 23 July 2002 (2002-07-23) abstract; figures 2,3

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed in annex.		
 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family 		
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the International search report		
30 September 2005	10/10/2005		
Name and malling address of the ISA	Authorized officer ·		
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Dauw, X		

1

US2005/010177

	tion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Relevant to claim No.
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	
A	US 4 894 709 A (PHILLIPS ET AL) 16 January 1990 (1990-01-16) abstract; figures 4,5	1-28
A	WO 02/39241 A (VODAFONE PILOTENTWICKLUNG GMBH; THEISEN, SVEN; P21 GMBH) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16) abstract; figure 1	1-28
-		
	*	
•		
		·

1

on on patent family members

US2005/010177

	Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
·	DE 10313685	A1	09-10-2003	AU WO EP	2003222780 A1 03080233 A1 1506054 A1	08-10-2003 02-10-2003 16-02-2005
	US 2002174660	A1	28-11-2002	NONE		
	US 5457342	Α	10-10-1995	NONE		
	US 6424533	B1	23-07-2002	NONE		
	US 4894709	Α	16-01-1990	NONE		
	WO 0239241	Α	16-05-2002	AU DE	1585102 A 10056172 A1	21-05-2002 27-06-2002

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)



From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 29.03.2004 25.03.2005 PCT/US2005/010177 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01L23/473, H01L23/38 **Applicant** INTEL CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. i Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial 🛛 Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Dauw, X

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7674





WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/010177

•	Box No	o. I Basis of the opinion		
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international applicate the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 				
	lar	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).		
2.	With re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type	of material:		
		a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. form	nat of material:		
		in written format		
	Ġ	in computer readable form		
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.		
4.	. Additio	onal comments:		





International application No. PCT/US2005/010177

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-28

Claims No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-28

Claims No:

Industrial applicability (IA)


Yes: Claims


1-28

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet





PCT/US2005/010177

Reference is made to the following documents (D):

D1 DE 103 13 685

D2 US 2002 174 660

D3 US 5 457 342

Box No. V

Given the documents found during a search of the prior art, it seems that the subject matter of the independent claims is new and inventive.

Heat sinks for semiconductor chips, having microchannels through which a coolant flows, defined by a groove and the backside of the chip, are known in the art (for example D1). Providing such a microchannel with a thin film thermoelectric cooling device in the channel, however, is new. Although there are examples where thermoelectric devices are combined with flowing fluids (D3), there is no hint in the prior art to provide microchannels through which a fluid flows with thin film thermoelectric cooling (TFTEC) devices.

The TFTEC devices as such are known as well in the prior art (D2), however, not supplied within a microchannel. There is no hint in the prior art that indicates that one could put the TFTEC devices in a microchannel through which also a coolant is flowing.

As a consequence, at present the subject matter of the independent claims seems to be new and inventive.